

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,186	05/23/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA020US	9156
24011 7:	590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
	NSW 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALÍA			2134	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/575,186	SILVERBROOK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Y. Jung	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	February 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			s		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>original filing date</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·		(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal (a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	, , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-45 are presented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the Amendment, Applicant's Remarks section is at pages 3-5.

At pages 3-4 of the Amendment, Applicant argued that figure 1 is an example for the claims but no claim must necessarily be interpreted with figure 1. This does not "reasonably apprise" those skilled in the art with the necessary precision in accordance with 35 USC 112. Furthermore, Applicant asserts that the Office's use of Applicant's discussion of figure 1 in the Remarks, filed by Applicant, was improper. In particular, Applicant asserts that this would violate MPEP 2172 which Applicant quotes as a prohibition against use of the specification in such fashion. Again, this does not "reasonably apprise" those skilled in the art with the necessary precision in accordance with 35 USC 112; this does not permit one to know, with necessary precision, as to what is actually covered by the terms of the claims. What is covered by the terms of the claims? Is figure 1 covered by the claims? Is figure 1 not covered by the claims? What is meant by "coded data"? What isn't meant by "coded data"? Is this a term that is newly defined by Applicant through the use of Applicant's right to be his own lexicographer? Applicant is respectfully requested to assist the Office in acquiring a

Art Unit: 2134

more clear understanding as to the boundaries of the claims (especially as to how the aforementioned terms and limitations define the boundaries of the claims).

At pages 4-5 of the Amendment, Applicant argued that the claims overcome the prior art. These arguments, especially in light of the other arguments at pages 3-4 as discussed in the previous paragraph, seem to be similar to arguments filed in the previous communications from Applicant. For the reasons noted in response to the previous communications, these arguments are still not persuasive.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The claims are rejected as in the previous Office Actions – and as particularly explained in the Response to Arguments section.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/575,186

Art Unit: 2134

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Jacques Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (571) 272-6962.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

4/30/06

Page 5